## 48A C.J.S. Judges § 96

Corpus Juris Secundum | August 2023 Update

## **Judges**

Joseph Bassano, J.D.; Khara Singer-Mack, J.D.; Thomas Muskus, J.D; Karl Oakes, J.D. and Jeffrey J. Shampo, J.D.

- IV. Standards of Conduct; Restrictions and Prohibitions
- **B.** Nature of Conduct Proscribed or Prohibited
- 1. In General

§ 96. Financial disclosure

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

## **West's Key Number Digest**

West's Key Number Digest, Judges 11(1), 11(2)

## A judge should comply with applicable financial disclosure laws.

A judge should comply with applicable financial disclosure laws.<sup>1</sup> While a financial disclosure law may be applicable as a code of conduct only to candidates for judicial office and not to those serving in office,<sup>2</sup> such disclosure may be mandated by court adopted rules.<sup>3</sup>

Required financial disclosure statements should be complete and timely.<sup>4</sup> Financial disclosure of compensation and reimbursement received for quasijudicial and extrajudicial activities may be required.<sup>5</sup> Failure to include information relative to a loan, in a financial disclosure statement, violates a rule of judicial ethics.<sup>6</sup> However, the failure to file or disclose loans or investments of a certain type may require a knowing failure.<sup>7</sup>

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

Footnotes	
1	U.S.—U.S. v. Whitfield, 590 F.3d 325 (5th Cir. 2009).
	Fla.—In re Meyerson, 581 So. 2d 581 (Fla. 1991).
2	Fla.—In re The Florida Bar, 316 So. 2d 45 (Fla. 1975).
3	Fla.—In re The Florida Bar, 316 So. 2d 45 (Fla. 1975).
4	La.—In re Thomas, 66 So. 3d 466 (La. 2011).
	Ohio—Disciplinary Counsel v. Lisotto, 94 Ohio St. 3d 213, 2002-Ohio-638, 761 N.E.2d 1037 (2002).
5	Wash.— In re Disciplinary Proceedings Against Anderson, 138 Wash. 2d 830, 981 P.2d 426 (1999), as amended, (Aug. 12, 1999).
6	La.—In re Sanborn, 50 So. 3d 1279 (La. 2010).
	Md.—In re Hormes, 291 Md. 673, 436 A.2d 457 (1981).
7	Knowing falsehood not shown Ohio—Ohio State Bar Assn. v. Reid, 85 Ohio St. 3d 327, 1999-Ohio-374, 708 N.E.2d 193 (1999).

**End of Document** 

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.